

Nonlinear synthetic gauge potentials and sonic horizons in Bose-Einstein condensates

Salvatore Butera,¹ Niclas Westerberg,¹ Daniele Faccio,¹ and Patrik Öhberg¹

¹*SUPA, Institute of Photonics and Quantum Sciences,
Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh EH14 4AS, United Kingdom*

Phonons in a Bose-Einstein condensate can be made to behave as if they propagate in curved spacetime by controlling the condensate flow speed. Seemingly disconnected to this, artificial gauge potentials can be induced in charge neutral atomic condensates by for instance coupling two atomic levels to a laser field. Here we connect these two worlds and show that synthetic interacting gauge fields, i.e., density-dependent gauge potentials, induce a non-trivial spacetime structure for the phonons. This allows for the creation of new spacetime geometries which depend not on the flow speed of the condensate but on an easily controlled transverse laser phase. Using this, we show how to create artificial black holes in a stationary condensate, we simulate charge in a Reissner-Nordström black hole and induce cosmological horizons by creating de Sitter spacetimes. We then show how to combine this de Sitter spacetime with a black hole, which also opens up the possibility to study in experiments its quantum stability.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Kk 04.62.+v 04.70.Dy

Introduction. Analogue gravity has in the recent decades provided us with a powerful simulator of quantum fields in curved spacetime. In this discipline, we seek physical systems whose underlying dynamics might be different from gravity, but where an apparent spacetime picture emerges for some field in the system [1–3]. Consequently, a quantum field would then behave as though it is in curved spacetime. In this way, it is possible to study physics that relies on the spacetime structure, but not the gravitational dynamics. Thus, by analogy, effects such as Hawking radiation, the process in which blackholes evaporate by emitting thermal radiation, proposed by S Hawking [4, 5], the related Unruh effect [6], and cosmological particle creation [7, 8] are no longer unattainable experimentally. For instance, this has enabled the experimental study of the Hawking effect in flowing Bose-Einstein Condensates (BEC) [9–11], flowing water [12] and nonlinear optics [13], although in the latter the observed radiation might have had a more complicated origin. In-depth theoretical studies in BECs [14–21], ultracold fermions [22], moving/nonlinear optical media [23–26], rings of trapped ions [27] and superconducting circuits [28] to name a few, has exposed a multitude of properties of analog Hawking radiation. For example, this unveiled the existence of density-density correlations in a BEC with a sub/supersonic transition [29] that are vital for experimental investigation [9]. Another example is the deep connection between seemingly unrelated quantum field phenomena such as the dynamical Casimir effect, Hawking radiation and time-refraction [30–32]. Although Hawking radiation has held most of the attention of the community, analogue gravity is not restricted to this phenomenon alone. For example cosmological particle creation was studied in [3, 33].

However, so far analogue gravity has been restricted to the study of spacetimes created using only *one* degree of freedom [1–3]. For instance, the current of a BEC has as

of yet been the sole determiner of the analogue spacetime felt by the phonons propagating in it. In this article, we will open the door to much richer physics through the addition of a *second* degree of freedom in the form of a gauge potential. These synthetic gauge potentials emerge in cold-atom systems by for instance coupling the centre-of-mass motion of the particles to their internal degrees of freedom with a laser [34, 35]. A Berry connection [36] then emerges in the form of a vector potential for the centre-of-mass dynamics.

In this letter we start from a density-dependent gauge potential, first described in [37] and demonstrate how this adds a new degree of freedom to analogue gravity models. In particular, we show that the nonlinearity of the vector potential introduces a new method for designing effective spacetime geometries and creating horizons even in a stationary condensate. The position of the acoustic horizon now depends only on the local particle density and thus, from a fundamental viewpoint is close to actual physical gravity. We then discuss how the additional degree of freedom introduced by the nonlinear gauge potential allows us to extend analogue gravity beyond what is currently possible. Examples include the simulation of charged Reissner-Nordström black holes and de Sitter spacetimes.

The physical system. We consider a BEC composed of two-level atoms with internal states $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$, which are coupled by a single laser beam. In previous papers [37–40], a density-dependent vector potential \mathbf{A} , and scalar potential W , have been shown to emerge as a result of collision-induced shifts of the energy levels of the atoms. The atoms are initially prepared in one of the two possible dressed states [34, 35, 41–43] indicated with \pm subscripts below. In the weakly interacting limit, where the strength of the atom-atom interaction is much smaller than the characteristic energy of the laser-matter coupling, the interatomic interaction can be treated as a

small perturbation to the light-atom interaction. We consider the simplest possible set-up, in which the laser field is perfectly resonant with the atomic transition and the Rabi frequency, Ω , is homogeneous in space. Up to first order in the perturbation theory, the potentials take the form [37, 40]

$$\mathbf{A}_{\pm} = \mathbf{A}^{(0)} \pm \mathbf{a}_1 \rho_{\pm}(x) \quad (1)$$

$$W = \frac{|\mathbf{A}^{(0)}|^2}{2m}, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{A}^{(0)} = -\frac{\hbar}{2}\nabla\phi$ is the single particle component of the vector potential, ϕ is the transverse laser beam phase and $\rho_{\pm}(x) = |\psi_{\pm}|^2$ is the density of the dressed state with ψ the condensate order parameter. The vector $\mathbf{a}_1 = \nabla\phi(U_{11} - U_{22})/8\Omega$ controls the strength of the first order nonlinear, density-dependent contribution. The $U_{ij} = 4\pi\hbar^2 a_{ij}/m$ with the scattering lengths a_{ij} where $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$, describe the collisional interaction strength between atoms in the electronic states $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$. The zero-th order term $\mathbf{A}^{(0)}$ can be removed by a gauge transformation $\psi_{\pm} \rightarrow e^{i\phi(\mathbf{r})/2}\psi_{\pm}$ provided that the corresponding magnetic field is zero everywhere. We therefore have a vector potential which consists only of its nonlinear term, and thus obtain the Gross-Pitaevskii equation for the order parameter [37, 40],

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi_{\pm}}{\partial t} = \left[\frac{(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{A}_{\pm})^2}{2m} \mp \mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{j} + W + U\rho_{\pm} \right] \psi_{\pm} \quad (3)$$

where $U = (U_{11} + U_{22} - 2U_{12})/4$ and the current is defined as

$$\mathbf{j} = \frac{\hbar}{2mi} \left[\psi_{\pm}^* \left(\nabla - \frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{A}_{\pm} \right) \psi_{\pm} - \psi_{\pm} \left(\nabla + \frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{A}_{\pm} \right) \psi_{\pm}^* \right]. \quad (4)$$

For definiteness, and without loss of generality for the following arguments, we work with the (+) component of the condensate, dropping the subscript in the quantities defined above. If we instead had chosen to work with the (-) component of the condensate, the dynamics of the system would have been the same provided we have exchanged $U_{11} \leftrightarrow U_{22}$. We study the dynamics of the system in the hydrodynamic formalism, by introducing the Madelung representation for the order parameter $\psi = \sqrt{\rho}e^{iS}$, where $\rho \equiv |\psi|^2$ is the particle density and S is the phase. In this formalism, the velocity \mathbf{v} takes the form $\mathbf{v} = (\hbar/m)\nabla S - \mathbf{A}/m$. The Gross-Pitaevskii equation (3) reduces to the continuity equation and the (quantum) Euler equation for the phase that, up to the first order in $\rho \mathbf{a}_1$, are given by

$$\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left[\rho \left(\frac{\hbar}{m} \nabla S - \frac{\mathbf{A}}{m} \right) \right] = 0 \quad (5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hbar\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\nabla^2 \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\nabla S)^2 + \\ &2\rho \mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \left(\frac{\hbar}{m} \nabla S - \frac{\mathbf{A}}{m} \right) - U\rho. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

We now include small perturbations (indicated with subscripts “1”) from equilibrium (indicated with subscripts “0”) in the form $S = S_0 + S_1$, $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_0 + \mathbf{v}_1$ and $\rho = \rho_0 + \rho_1$. We also introduce the metric tensor

$$\begin{aligned} g_{00} &= - \left[c_s^2 - (\mathbf{v}_0 - \rho_0 \mathbf{a}_1/m)^2 \right], \\ g_{0i} &= g_{i0} = (v_0^i - \rho_0 a_1^i/m), \\ g_{ij} &= \delta_j^i, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where δ_j^i is the Kronecker symbol, c_s is the speed of sound defined as $c_s^2 = U'\rho_0/m$ for the condensate with $U' = U - 2\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_0$. Retaining only the first order terms in S_1 , and ρ_1 , the hydrodynamical equations can be written in the compact form

$$\Delta S_1 \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left(\sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial x^\mu} \right) = 0. \quad (8)$$

This is the equation for a scalar field propagating in a curved spacetime [44] and here describes the evolution of acoustic fluctuations living in the effective background geometry described by the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. It is essential to note that the deviation from the flat Minkowskii spacetime is due to the term $(\mathbf{v}_0 - \rho_0 \mathbf{a}_1/m)$. This shows that the nonlinearity of the vector potential introduces a new method for designing the effective spacetime geometry.

By an appropriate choice of values for the parameters of the atom-atom and/or atom-light interactions, the magnitude of the effective flow $\rho_0 \mathbf{a}_1/m$ induced by the vector potential can be made comparable to the sound velocity c_s (which is of the order of mm/s in a standard condensate [45–47]). We consider the simplest set-up consisting of a monochromatic laser beam, with wave vector \mathbf{k} so that the phase of the laser beam is $\phi = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}$. By using $\mathbf{a}_1 = [(U_{11} - U_{22})/8\Omega] \mathbf{k}$, a value $\lambda = 2\pi/|\mathbf{k}| \simeq 600$ nm for the wavelength of the laser beam, the atom mass $m \simeq 10^{-25}$ Kg and the value $\epsilon = \rho_0(U_{11} - U_{22})/8\hbar\Omega \simeq 0.1$ for the perturbative parameter, we obtain $\rho_0 \mathbf{a}_1/m \simeq 1$ mm/s. Considering a simple static 1D system ($v_0 \equiv |\mathbf{v}_0| = 0$), an effective horizon is created when the sound speed and the vector potential-induced flow are equal, i.e. when $c_s = \rho_0 a_1/m$. Thus the horizon position is given by $\rho_0 = U m/a_1^2$, where $a_1 \equiv |\mathbf{a}_1|$. The temperature of a black hole is proportional to the surface gravity g_H at the horizon, which here depends on the gradient of the density profile of the condensate,

$$g_H = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow c_s/v_k} \frac{v_k^2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\left(\frac{c_s}{v_k} \right)^2 - \epsilon^2 \right] = -\frac{U}{2m} \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial x} \quad (9)$$

where $v_k = \hbar|\mathbf{k}|/m$. Typical densities in cold atom experiments are $\rho_{0M} = 10^{12} - 10^{15}$ atoms/cm³ and the size of the cloud can range between $L = 1 - 1000$ μm . The density gradient can for instance take values $\rho_{0M}/L \simeq 10^{16} - 10^{19}$ atoms/cm⁴. By using Feshbach resonances, the scattering lengths can be tuned quite freely, although extremely high values will cause atoms losses from the condensate. Considering atoms of mass 10^{-25} Kg, we find an effective surface gravity in the range $|g_H| \simeq 2\pi(1 - 10^2)$ m/s² with values of the temperature of the Hawking radiation of the order

$$T_H = \frac{\hbar g_H}{2\pi k_{Bc_s}} \simeq (10 - 1000) \text{ nK} \quad (10)$$

It is interesting to note at this stage that this situation is similar to the true gravitational scenario in the sense that here, as with gravity, the curvature depends on the matter density of the system. We consider next two examples where we use the full degrees of freedom offered by the system, i.e. a non-zero \mathbf{v}_0 and \mathbf{a}_1 .

A Reissner-Nordström black hole. Whilst a Reissner-Nordström black hole in a BEC has been proposed in [48] in the context of black hole lasing, the method used there had no analogue of charge. The Reissner-Nordström metric is a solution to Einstein's field equations describing the spacetime generated by a charged point mass [49, 50]. The spacetime line element in Gullstrand-Painlevé form is

$$ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + \left(dr + \sqrt{\frac{2GM}{r} - \frac{GQ^2}{r^2}} dt \right)^2 + r^2 d\Theta^2, \quad (11)$$

where $d\Theta^2 = (d\theta^2 + \sin\theta d\phi^2)$ is the solid angle. If we assume the effective velocity $\mathbf{v}_0 - (\rho_0/m)\mathbf{a}_1$ to be in the radial direction in the acoustic metric, then the line element from Eq. (7) becomes:

$$ds^2 = \frac{\hbar\rho_0}{mc_s} \left\{ -c_s^2 dt^2 + \left[dr + \sqrt{(v_0^2 - 2\rho_0 \frac{a_1}{m} v_0) dt} \right]^2 + r^2 d\Theta^2 \right\}, \quad (12)$$

where we neglected the second order terms in $\rho_0\mathbf{a}_1$. This metric is thus conformally equivalent to the Reissner-Nordström metric once we pose

$$v_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2GM}{r}} \quad \text{and} \quad 2\rho_0 \frac{a_1}{m} = Q^2 \sqrt{\frac{G}{2Mr^3}}. \quad (13)$$

We have thus shown that a_1 represents the charge of the black hole to $\mathcal{O}(a_1^2)$. In particular, we can investigate in a simple manner the effects of the inner and outer horizons that occur naturally in Reissner-Nordström black holes. In other words, this set-up allows us to probe the internal structure of charged black holes. As a side note, if we

let the condensate have a nonzero angular velocity, i.e. $v_\theta \neq 0$, then this method also allows us to simulate certain aspects of charged and rotating black holes, i.e. the Kerr-Newman metric. Therefore this adds charge to the previously discussed acoustic rotating black holes [3, 51].

Cosmological horizons. Let us start with the simplest possible spacetime featuring a cosmological horizon, i.e. the de Sitter spacetime [49, 50], which may also be recast in Gullstrand-Painlevé form:

$$ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + \left(dr + \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda r^2}{3}} dt \right)^2 + r^2 d\Theta^2. \quad (14)$$

This is an empty-space solution of Einstein's field equations with a positive cosmological constant Λ [52, 53]. We first consider a static, i.e. $v_0 = 0$, Bose-Einstein condensate with $a_1 = -\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}} \frac{m}{\rho_0} r$, in the radial direction. With this choice, we find that the acoustic metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{\hbar\rho_0}{mc_s} \left\{ -c_s^2 dt^2 + \left[dr - \frac{\rho_0 a_1}{m} dt \right]^2 + r^2 d\Theta^2 \right\} \quad (15)$$

is conformally equivalent to the de Sitter spacetime seen in Eq. (14). In a straightforward manner, it is also possible to embed black holes in the de Sitter spacetime. Comparing Eq. (12) with the Schwarzschild-de Sitter line element [49, 50]

$$ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + \left(dr + \sqrt{\frac{2GM}{r} + \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3}} dt \right)^2 + r^2 d\Theta^2, \quad (16)$$

which has been rewritten into Gullstrand-Painlevé form, we see that the phonons propagating in the flowing BEC experience such a spacetime once either of the two possible following combinations of the velocity and the vector potential is fulfilled:

$$v_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2GM}{r}}, \quad a_1 = -\frac{\Lambda}{3} \frac{m}{2\rho_0} \frac{r^{5/2}}{\sqrt{2GM}}; \quad (17)$$

$$v_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}} r, \quad a_1 = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{\Lambda}} \frac{m}{\rho_0} \frac{GM}{r^2}. \quad (18)$$

The Schwarzschild-de Sitter metric has two horizons. Questions related to the quantum stability and the concept of entropy in such a system are still debated [53, 54] and may now be investigated using the approach described here.

Experimental considerations. For an experimental realisation of the analog gravity effects discussed here, a number of criteria need to be fulfilled. Firstly the density-dependent gauge potential relies on the Rabi frequency and the corresponding energy scale to dominate over any collisional interaction energies. In practice this means $\hbar\Omega$ must be larger than the chemical potential μ

of the Bose-Einstein condensate. Secondly, we need to ensure a suitable choice of atomic states and scattering lengths, such that a non-zero current nonlinearity can be obtained. For this one needs $U_{11} \neq U_{22}$ which, if not readily available, can be achieved using Feshbach resonances. In other words, one needs to be in the adiabatic regime, where the dressed states arising from the light-matter interaction are not coupled. This not only requires that $\hbar\Omega \gg \mu$, but the atomic states must also be long lived. Promising candidates could for instance be Ytterbium and Strontium which have extremely long lived states of the order of seconds [34]. An alternative route in order to avoid spontaneous emission and heating is to use dark states and three-level atoms [34, 42]. Finally, the nonlinear gauge potential should take some specific spatial form in order to emulate the different metrics in question. In particular the Reissner-Nordström metric will require the combination $a_1\rho_0$ to be proportional to $r^{-3/2}$. This can for instance be achieved in a uniform Bose-Einstein condensate where the incident laser beam has a radially dependent phase that is proportional to $r^{-1/2}$ in the two-dimensional transverse plane. Such a phase profile is easily achieved by, for example, using a Spatial Light Modulator [55].

Summary and Conclusion. We have shown that density-dependent synthetic gauge fields acting on neutral atoms can be exploited in order to enrich the physics that can be simulated in a BEC implementation of gravity analogues. As an application of the model, we showed that a horizon for the acoustic perturbations can be created in a static condensate, just by taking advantage of the nonlinear character of the vector potential. In this case, the continuity condition for the background flow is naturally satisfied, where the density represents a true degree of freedom in the system. As a consequence, the position of the acoustic horizon depends only on the local particle density in the condensate. Moreover, the surface gravity at the horizon, and in consequence the temperature of the resulting Hawking radiation, is proportional to the gradient of the density itself. This density dependence and its role in the formation of event horizons is in stark contrast to the standard situation in which a supersonic background flow creates the acoustic horizon. From a more fundamental point of view, the situation depicted here is in some way more similar to actual physical gravity, where it is the energy-momentum tensor (and therefore the mass of the objects) which determines the spacetime structure.

In the more general case of a steady flowing condensate, much more complex geometries can in principle be simulated, since the effective metric has been shown to depend on two independent physical parameters, namely the velocity of the background flow and the gradient of the laser phase. In order to illustrate the advantages given by the extra flexibility at our disposal, we first proposed a set-up for simulating the spacetime geometry of

a charged Reissner-Nordström black hole. As a further application we showed that a cosmological horizon can be simulated in the system and we proposed a set-up simulating a Schwarzschild black hole embedded in a de Sitter expanding universe. This opens up an intriguing aspect of analogue gravity where we are now in a position to emulate large scale structures of the universe and link these concepts to artificial gauge fields.

S.B and N.W acknowledge support from the EP-SRC CM-CDT Grant No. EP/G03673X/1 and EP/L015110/1, P.Ö acknowledge support from EP-SRC EP/M024636/1. D.F. acknowledges financial support from the European Research Council under the European Unions Seventh Framework Programme (FP/20072013)/ERC GA 306559.

-
- [1] C. Barceló, S. Liberati, M. Visser, et al., *Living Rev. Rel* **8**, 214 (2005).
 - [2] M. Novello, M. Visser, and G. Volovik, *Artificial black holes* (World Scientific, 2002).
 - [3] D. Faccio, F. Belgiorno, S. Cacciatori, V. Gorini, S. Liberati, and U. Moschella, *Analogue Gravity Phenomenology: Analogue Spacetimes and Horizons, from Theory to Experiment*, vol. 870 (Springer, 2013).
 - [4] S. W. Hawking, *Nature* **248**, 30 (1974).
 - [5] S. W. Hawking, *Communications in mathematical physics* **43**, 199 (1975).
 - [6] W. G. Unruh, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **46**, 1351 (1981).
 - [7] E. Schrödinger et al., *Physica* **6**, 899 (1939).
 - [8] L. Parker, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **21**, 562 (1968).
 - [9] J. Steinhauer, arXiv preprint arXiv:1510.00621 (2015).
 - [10] J. Steinhauer, *Nature Physics* **10**, 864 (2014).
 - [11] O. Lahav, A. Itah, A. Blumkin, C. Gordon, S. Rinott, A. Zayats, and J. Steinhauer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 240401 (2010).
 - [12] G. Rousseaux, C. Mathis, P. Maïssa, T. G. Philbin, and U. Leonhardt, *New Journal of Physics* **10**, 053015 (2008).
 - [13] E. Rubino, F. Belgiorno, S. Cacciatori, M. Clerici, V. Gorini, G. Ortenzi, L. Rizzi, V. Sala, M. Kolesik, and D. Faccio, *New Journal of Physics* **13**, 085005 (2011).
 - [14] M. Visser, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **15**, 1767 (1998).
 - [15] B. Reznik, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 044044 (2000).
 - [16] L. J. Garay, J. Anglin, J. Cirac, and P. Zoller, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 4643 (2000).
 - [17] U. Leonhardt, T. Kiss, and P. Öhberg, *Journal of Optics B: Quantum and Semiclassical Optics* **5**, S42 (2003).
 - [18] U. Leonhardt, T. Kiss, and P. Öhberg, *Phys. Rev. A* **67**, 033602 (2003).
 - [19] S. Liberati, M. Visser, and S. Weinfurter, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **23**, 3129 (2006).
 - [20] C. Barceló, S. Liberati, S. Sonogo, and M. Visser, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **23**, 5341 (2006).
 - [21] S. Finazzi and R. Parentani, *New Journal of Physics* **12**, 095015 (2010).
 - [22] S. Giovanazzi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 061302 (2005).
 - [23] U. Leonhardt and P. Piwnicki, *Phys. Rev. A* **60**, 4301 (1999).

- [24] U. Leonhardt and P. Piwnicki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 822 (2000).
- [25] W. Unruh and R. Schützhold, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 024008 (2003).
- [26] M. Petev, N. Westerberg, D. Moss, E. Rubino, C. Riboldi, S. Cacciatori, F. Belgiorno, and D. Faccio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 043902 (2013).
- [27] B. Horstmann, B. Reznik, S. Fagnocchi, and J. I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 250403 (2010).
- [28] P. Nation, M. Blencowe, A. Rimberg, and E. Buks, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 087004 (2009).
- [29] R. Balbinot, A. Fabbri, S. Fagnocchi, A. Recati, and I. Carusotto, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 021603 (2008).
- [30] J. T. Mendonca, G. Brodin, and M. Marklund, Physics Letters A **372**, 5621 (2008).
- [31] P. Nation, J. Johansson, M. Blencowe, and F. Nori, Reviews of Modern Physics **84**, 1 (2012).
- [32] R. Schützhold, arXiv preprint arXiv:1110.6064 (2011).
- [33] N. Westerberg, S. Cacciatori, F. Belgiorno, F. Dalla Piazza, and D. Faccio, New Journal of Physics **16**, 075003 (2014).
- [34] J. Dalibard, F. Gerbier, G. Juzeliūnas, and P. Öhberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 1523 (2011).
- [35] N. Goldman, G. Juzeliūnas, P. Öhberg, and I. B. Spielman, Reports on Progress in Physics **77**, 126401 (2014).
- [36] M. V. Berry, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences **392**, 45 (1984).
- [37] M. J. Edmonds, M. Valiente, G. Juzeliūnas, L. Santos, and P. Öhberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 085301 (2013).
- [38] M. J. Edmonds, M. Valiente, and P. Öhberg, EPL (Europhysics Letters) **110**, 36004 (2015).
- [39] J.-h. Zheng, B. Xiong, G. Juzeliūnas, and D.-W. Wang, Phys. Rev. A **92**, 013604 (2015).
- [40] S. Butera, M. Valiente, and P. Öhberg, Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics **49**, 015304 (2015).
- [41] J. Dalibard and C. Cohen-Tannoudji, JOSA B **2**, 1707 (1985).
- [42] H. Theuer, R. Unanyan, C. Habscheid, K. Klein, and K. Bergmann, Opt. Express **4**, 77 (1999).
- [43] S. K. Dutta, B. K. Teo, and G. Raithel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 1934 (1999).
- [44] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum fields in curved space*, 7 (Cambridge university press, 1984).
- [45] M. R. Andrews, D. M. Kurn, H.-J. Miesner, D. S. Durfee, C. G. Townsend, S. Inouye, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 553 (1997).
- [46] C. Pethick and H. Smith, *Bose-Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases* (Cambridge University Press, 2008).
- [47] L. P. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, *Bose-Einstein condensation* (Oxford University Press, 2003).
- [48] S. Corley and T. Jacobson, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 124011 (1999).
- [49] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (Macmillan, 1973).
- [50] H. Stephani, D. Kramer, M. MacCallum, C. Hoenselaers, and E. Herlt, *Exact solutions of Einstein's field equations* (Cambridge University Press, 2009).
- [51] F. Marino, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 063804 (2008).
- [52] H. Nariai, Science reports of the Tohoku University 1st ser. Physics, chemistry, astronomy **34**, 160 (1951).
- [53] R. Bousso, arXiv preprint hep-th/0205177 (2002).
- [54] R.-G. Cai, J.-Y. Ji, and K.-S. Soh, Classical and Quantum Gravity **15**, 2783 (1998).
- [55] D. McGloin, G. C. Spalding, H. Melville, W. Sibbett, and K. Dholakia, Optics Express **11**, 158 (2003).