Quantum Radiation from Superluminal Refractive-Index Perturbations
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We analyze in detail photon production induced by a superluminal refractive-index perturbation in realistic experimental operating conditions. The interaction between the refractive-index perturbation and the quantum vacuum fluctuations of the electromagnetic field leads to the production of photon pairs.
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In the past 60 years a significant amount of research has been dedicated to pair production in external fields or in the presence of time-varying boundary conditions, from the renowned analysis by Schwinger to the Hawking effect [1,2]. These effects are still waiting experimental observation although advancing technologies and the increasing number of novel physical systems in which these effects are proposed leave hope for successful experiments in the near future. In this framework we shall focus our attention on pair creation of photons in a novel setting, i.e., in the presence of a moving refraction index perturbation.

Recent advances in different fields such as analogue gravity [3,4] and the generation of superluminal light pulses either in optical fibers or in free space using pulse shaping techniques demand a detailed quantum analysis of the pulse propagation characteristics in the presence of nonlinear media. In particular, intense light pulses will induce through the optical nonlinear Kerr effect a refractive-index perturbation that travels at the same speed \(v\) of the light pulse. Examples of superluminal light pulses are Bessel pulses [5–7] and filament pulses under appropriate operating conditions [8,9]. Therefore the refractive-index perturbation associated to such pulses will also travel superluminally.

Herein, we propose a mechanism for photon pair production which exhibits the following peculiar aspects. (a) The phenomenon we shall describe is associated to the propagation of a dielectric perturbation induced by a laser pulse. Only the perturbation is in movement while the medium, often treated in this context in terms of an electric charge or dipole, stands still. (b) We find a condition which implies that there is no pair creation unless the pulse velocity satisfies \(v \geq \frac{c}{n_0}\), where \(n_0\) is the uniform and constant background refraction index. The presence of a threshold velocity is the most peculiar and relevant feature, which distinguishes our mechanism, for example, from the dynamical Casimir effect (DCE). (c) On the other hand, the phenomenon we present is distinguishable from other superluminal propagation phenomena [10,11] such as the Cerenkov effect. We give quantitative estimates of the expected number of photons and show that these hold promise to be detected in realistic experimental operating conditions.

Model.—We ground our model on the following hypothesis: The nonlinearity of the dielectric medium is relevant only as far as it allows one to obtain a refractive-index perturbation traveling with velocity \(v > c/n\). Electromagnetic field quantization is then carried out as if the dielectric medium were linear and also, in a zero-order approximation, nondispersive. Then we can refer to the perturbative approach introduced by Schützhold et al. in Ref. [112]. In particular, we consider the interaction representation for the electromagnetic field in the presence of a dielectric constant \(\epsilon(x, t)\) depending on space and time (see below); we also have a background uniform and constant value \(\epsilon_b = n_0^2\) of the dielectric constant and our disturbance is \(\epsilon(x, t) - \epsilon_b\). Then we define our interaction Hamiltonian density (cf. [112]):

\[
\mathcal{H}_I = \xi \Pi^2 \quad \text{with} \quad \xi := \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon(x, t)} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_b} \right),
\]

where \(\Pi = \hat{D}(\hat{x}, t)\) is the canonical momentum and which is valid for the case of a medium at rest [13]. We note that this model differs from approaches that use mode-matching between inside and outside regions of the refractive-index variation, e.g., [14], and which are intrinsically nonperturbative.

Pair production.—The amplitude \(A_{(\vec{k}; \vec{k}, \mu \mu')}\) for the creation of a photon pair labeled by \(\{\vec{k}, \mu; \vec{k'}, \mu'\}\), where \(\mu\) is a polarization state label, is

\[
A_{(\vec{k}; \vec{k}, \mu \mu')} = \langle \{\vec{k}, \mu; \vec{k'}, \mu'\} | S | 0 \rangle,
\]

where \(S\) is the \(S\) matrix, which at the first order is given by

\[
S \approx -i \int \! d^4 x \mathcal{H}_I(x).
\]

It may then be shown that

\[
|A_{(\vec{k}; \vec{k}, \mu \mu')}|^2 = \frac{\alpha_0^2 a_0^2}{V^2} |\hat{\xi}(\vec{k} + \vec{k'})|^2 (\vec{e}_{\vec{k}, \mu} \cdot \vec{e}_{\vec{k'}, \mu'})^2,
\]
where $\tilde{e}_{k,\mu}$ are the polarization vectors and the tilde indicates the four-dimensional Fourier transform: $\tilde{g}(\vec{k}, c \omega / n_0) = \int dt dx dy dz g(\vec{x}, t) e^{i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x} - i(c \omega / n_0) t}$. In order to find the mean number of created photons labeled by $\vec{k}, \mu$, at the same order, we have to consider the following formula:

$$N_{k,\mu} = \sum_{\vec{k},\mu} |\langle \tilde{e}_{k,\mu}, \tilde{e}_{\vec{k},\mu} \rangle|^2 |S(0)|^2.$$  (5)

Then we find (cf. also [12])

$$N_{k,\mu} = \sum_{k} \frac{\omega_k \omega_{k'}}{V^2} |\tilde{e}(k+k')|^2 \left[ 1 - (\tilde{e}_{k'} \cdot \tilde{e}_{k,\mu})^2 \right].$$  (6)

where $k = (\vec{k}, \omega)$, $\omega_k = (\vec{k}, ck/n_0)$, with $k = |\vec{k}|$, and $\tilde{e}_k = \vec{k}/k$. A key point is the following: in our case the spacetime dependence of $\xi$ takes the form $\xi(t, x, y, z) = \xi(x - vt, y, z)$ so that using the variables $u = x - vt$ and $w = x + vt$ the Fourier transform becomes

$$\tilde{\xi} = 2\pi \nu \frac{1}{\delta(k - c \omega/v)} \int du dy dz \xi(u, y, z) \times e^{-i(1/2)[(k + c \omega/v)u]} e^{i(k_x y + k_z z)}.$$  (7)

The above result is very meaningful from a physical point of view. The dispersion relation $k = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2}$ allows us to find the support of $\delta(k_x - c \omega/v)$, which is nonzero only for $v \geq c/n_0$. This is precisely the condition of “superluminality” to which we refer in relation to the present work. Clearly, there is nothing that should induce any concerns, e.g., regarding causality, in this superluminality condition, and details on experimental settings in which it is reached are given below. Note also that this condition arises for a generic $\xi(x - vt, y, z)$ as far as a perturbative approach is allowed. However, the number of photons does depend on the actual shape of the pulse.

We now consider a Gaussian behavior for the refraction index $n^2 = \varepsilon(x, t, y, z)$:

$$n^2 = n_0^2 + 2n_0 \eta e^{-(x-vt)^2 + y^2 + z^2)/2\sigma^2},$$  (8)

which is suggested by the specific form of the pulse we can deal with in experiments. For $\eta \ll n_0$, which is respected in typical experimental settings as discussed below, we obtain

$$\mathcal{H} \sim -\frac{\eta}{n_0^6} e^{-(x-vt)^2 + y^2 + z^2)/2\sigma^2 \Pi^2}. $$  (9)

Then, the number of created particles is given by the integral:

$$N_{k,\mu} = \frac{2\pi \omega_k \omega_{k'}}{V^2} \frac{n_0^2}{n_0^6} \int d\vec{k} e^{i\omega_k \omega_{k'}/V} e^{-\sigma^2(|\vec{k} + \vec{k}'|)^2} \left[ \delta(k + k') - \frac{c}{v n_0} (|\vec{k}| + |\vec{k}'|) \right]^2 \left[ 1 - (\tilde{e}_{k'} \cdot \tilde{e}_{k,\mu})^2 \right].$$  (10)

The angular distribution of photons emitted in the range $k - k + dk$ is

$$\frac{dN}{d\Omega} = \frac{2\pi^2 L \sigma^3}{\pi^2 n_0^6} \frac{k^3}{\gamma^2 I(\beta, \sigma, \vec{k})},$$  (11)

where $\beta = n_0 v/c$, $\gamma^2 = 1/(\beta^2 - 1)$, and $\Delta \sigma$ small superluminality, the photon emission is peaked at a wavelength, $\lambda_{\text{max}}$, that scales with the perturbation diameter. Roughly speaking, the maximum emission wavelength is such that $\lambda_{\text{max}} \sim 3\sigma$. We also note that, differently from the Cerenkov effect, emission occurs for all angles inside the cone and decays exponentially outside (other differences with respect to the Cerenkov effect are detailed below). As the perturbation velocity, or equivalently $\beta$, increases, the emission cone angle increases and $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ decreases. In all cases, emission occurs in the near- and mid-infrared wavelength region with maximum photon counts of the order of $10^{-4} - 10^{-2}$, thus implying, accounting also for detection, for example, over a 20 deg angle, ~0.1–10 counts/sec with a kHz-repetition rate laser. These numbers are within the limits of commercial, mid-infrared detectors.
Correlated pair emission.—We may also consider measurements on correlated pairs of photons, which would represent a robust corroboration that the observed phenomenon has a quantum origin.

Some qualitative aspects of the pair emission can be obtained from the evaluation of the δ function in Eq. (10). On account of this constraint, for a fixed photon emitted with momentum $\vec{k}$, the momentum $\vec{k'}$ of the correlated photon satisfies

$$k'_x v - \omega_{\vec{k'}} = -(k_x v - \omega_{\vec{k}}).$$

(13)

If we consider the superluminality cone delimited by the angle $\theta_0 = \arccos(\frac{1}{\beta'})$, then Eq. (13) implies that, for every photon inside the cone, there is a correlated photon outside the cone. In other words, the photon density for $\beta \approx 1$ [see Figs. 1(a) and 1(d)] at large angles is extremely small, but not identically zero. As the cone angle increases, i.e., for increasing $\beta$, the photon density increases significantly in the outer region [see Figs. 1(c) and 1(f)], in keeping with the overall increase of the photon density inside the cone and of the smaller solid angle spanned by the outer region.

Comparison with other photon production phenomena.—In order to appreciate the novelty and peculiarities of the present photon production mechanism, we give an explicit comparison, highlighting similarities and differences, with other known and possibly competing photon emission phenomena.

Cerenkov emission. The condition of superluminality $v > c/n$ required to observe photon emission introduces a link to other superluminal propagation phenomena such as the Cerenkov effect [10,11]. However, there are important differences that render the two effects different. The Cerenkov effect requires an electric charge or a dipole moving superluminally in an insulator. The moving charge will induce a reorientation of the medium dipoles and the subsequent emission of light. Moreover, this effect may be completely described classically and simple trigonometry gives an emission angle for the radiation, $\cos \theta = v/(cn)$. Contrary to these known features, the present photon emission mechanism relies on the propagation of a neutral refractive-index perturbation; i.e., there are no dipoles or charges of any kind in movement. Moreover, there is no clear way to describe this mechanism in terms of classical physics: this is an exquisitely quantum manifestation characterized by the emission of quantum correlated photon pairs. Finally, the photon pairs are not emitted at a specific angle, but one photon of the pair is emitted inside the cone and the other photon of the pair is emitted outside the cone. Therefore, for small $\beta$, one half of the photons is emitted in a small range of angles giving the maximum of emission which can be seen in Fig. 1 for $\beta = 1.1$ and $\beta = 2.1$.

Four-wave mixing. Intense laser pulses may excite photons from the vacuum via parametric processes such as four-wave mixing in media with third order nonlinearity (also called Kerr media) or parametric down-conversion in media with second order nonlinearity (known as $\chi^{(2)}$ media). The distinguishing feature of these mechanisms is the parametric interaction between the pump and the generated photons, and they are thus limited by the so-called phase-matching constraints, i.e., energy and momentum conservation. Thus, in Kerr media, the two emitted photons may in general have different frequencies, and the phase velocity of the sum of the emitted fields is determined by the pump pulse phase velocity. This constrains the emitted photons to a well-defined cone angle and is clearly very different from the described emission of equal-frequency photons that is determined only by the condition on the pump pulse group velocity, $v < c/n$.

Dynamical Casimir effect. The DCE refers to the emission of photons excited from the vacuum by a mirror (or similar physical effect) moving with a nonuniform acceleration [17]. Although the refractive-index perturbation described here may be assimilated to a moving mirror, it is assumed to be moving with a constant speed.
Moreover the DCE does not present any sort of cutoff or threshold on the mirror velocity.

We therefore conclude that the physical effect we are describing is novel and distinct from other known, yet similar, effects. All of these, along with others such as Hawking radiation, may be somehow gathered under the same generic name of quantum friction [18], of which the mechanism proposed here represents a novel realization that holds promise for experimental detection. In this sense, similarly to other superluminal phenomena [10], we note that the emitted photons will drain energy from the moving refractive-index perturbation which in turn is fed by the pump laser pulse, thus either reducing its energy until the perturbation is switched off or, alternatively, slowing it down until the superluminality condition is no longer met within the transparency range of the medium. In any case we underline that the presence of dissipation does not modify the results: the evaluation of the emitted photon rate in the realistic experimental setting described above predicts a loss less than a photon per pulse. If we consider that the pump pulse will typically have an energy in the $\mu J$ or mJ range, this implies that the quantum friction process is far too weak to significantly perturb the pump pulse propagation over short propagation distances.

In conclusion, we have described a novel photon production mechanism that has a twofold importance: on the one hand it represents a completely novel nonlinear optical effect by which an intense Gaussian pulse propagating in a Kerr medium emits correlated photons nearly isotropically within a limited cone angle and with a tunable spectral maximum in the midinfrared region. The precise correlation properties of the emitted photons are somewhat involved and will be presented in future work. On the other hand, the present effect has common features with a whole family of vacuum excitation mechanisms, generically referred to as “quantum friction” [17], that still await experimental observation.
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[13] We put $\hbar = c_0 = \mu_0 = 1$. Compare Ref. [12]